

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: A	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)		
R	E-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1150036 DATE	· 03/19/2007	ARRIVE: ~1:00 pm	DEPART: ~1:30 pm		
		111111 1100 par	DD: 11111 1100 pm		
FACILITY NAME: GATE	PRECAST COMPANY				
FACILITY LOCATION:	1199 ORANGE AVE. N				
	SARASOTA 34236-				
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIA	L: JEFFREY NOLAN	PHONE:	(941)957-0270		
CONTACT NAME: Jeffrey Nolan		PHONE:	(
REMITTANCE YEAR: 20)06 ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: <u>8</u> /28/2006 (effective date)	/ <u>8/28/2011</u> (end date)		
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> CO	OMPLIANCE STATUS (che	eck 🗹 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIGNIFICAN	Γ Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECO		<u> 1ENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A	.C.		
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ns tests conducted during this	site visit according to EPA Meth	nod 9 (Ref.: Chapter \textbf{Yes} \times No		
2. Are emissions from s	silos, weigh hoppers (batchers)), and other enclosed storage and	l conveying equipment		
3. During visible emissi	controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
4. Are emissions from t	he weigh hopper (batcher) ope	eration controlled by the silo dus	st collector? (If answer		
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and	d continue on to question 5.)	ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answ			
		the visible emissions test?ing rate representative of the nor	Yes No		
duration?			Yes No		
from the silo dust col	llector, are the visible emission	ation are controlled by a dust col ns tests of the weigh hopper (bat ative of the normal batching rate			
			,		

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the collector exha	
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	☐Yes ☐ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form	n
submittal date?	- ∐Yes ∐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	ıle 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	sing Yes No Yes No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	sing Yes NoYes NoYes No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————	Sing Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
U- 2006-21 E-2010-2010-2010-2010-2010-2010-2010-201				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take re	econable precautions to control unconfined	ŀ		
emissions by:	asoliable precautions to control uncommed	ļ		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards	s which shall include one or more of the following:]		
	s, which shall include one of more of the following: \square piles, and yards? \square Yes \square No	n		
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-supp		´		
emissions?	Yes No	o l		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pav				
	duce airborne particulate matter? ☐Yes ☐ No	o		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind be	preaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes No			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate em	issions at the drop point to the truck?	o		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Rule		$\overline{}$		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	& 02-210.500(4)(u)4., r.A.C.	ļ		
A. New OI Mounica 1 10ccss Equipment		ŀ		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		ŀ		
a) installation of any new process equipment?		No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace	cement? Yes N	No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different		`~		
recent notification form?		No		
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner		İ		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?		No		
Debbie Telemeco-Anders, ES II	03/19/2007			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	2007			
	~ 2007			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
inspector o signature	Approximate Date of French Inspection			
COMMENTS: Unconfined particulate matter emissions from yard ar	rea observed during inspection. Field Warning Notice issi	ued		

to Jeff Nolan, Gate Precast.